1 2 3 4 5 6 7 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 JOSEPH M. JAEGER, and EVELYN L. JAEGER, 11 Plaintiffs, No. CIV S-05-0095 FCD KJM PS 12 VS. 13 PAMELA ROGERS, JOHN TAM, and ANY AND ALL DOES, 14 15 Defendants. <u>ORDER</u> 16 17 Plaintiffs, proceeding pro se, filed the above-entitled action. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to Local Rule 72-302(c)(21). 18 19 On July 5, 2005, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein 20 which were served on the parties and which contained notice to the parties that any objections to 21 the findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty days. Objections to the 22 findings and recommendations have been filed. 23 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 72-24 304, this court has conducted a <u>de novo</u> review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire 25 file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by 26 proper analysis.

## 

1	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:
2	1. The findings and recommendations filed July 5, 2005, are adopted in full;
3	2. Defendants' motion to dismiss is granted; and
4	3. This action is dismissed.
5	DATED:August 19, 2005
6	
7	/s/ Frank C. Damrell Jr. FRANK C. DAMRELL JR.
8	United States District Judge
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	